

TAX AUDIT & DEBT

February 25, 2020

Curtis L. Harrington

Harrington & Harrington

Box No. 91719

Long Beach, CA 90809-1719

(562) 594-9784

curt@patentax.com

http://www.patentax.com

DISCLAIMER-Educational Only

This Power Point Presentation is Educational Only and no part of this presentation can be considered as anything other than my own personal opinion.

Materials Become More Dated With Each Passing Day: Materials are believed to embody principles correspondingly associated with dates that the materials were created. Readers are responsible for verifying whether the principles of this presentation are valid. 4

DISCLAIMER-Educational Only

The information contained in the training materials is of a general nature and is not intended to address the circumstances of a particular individual or entity. It is not intended to be any form of "advice concerning one or more federal tax matters" subject to any applicable standards.

TAX DEBT INDEX

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Burden of Proof
- 3. Tax Debt Rules
- 4. Conclusion

Tax debt can arise from:

01. Earned Income

02. Excise tax

03. Penalties & Interest

Tax debt can arise from:

04. Debt Cancellation

05. Payroll Responsibilities

06. Worker Mis-classification

Tax debt can arise from:

07. Failure to file a return

08. Omitting estimated payments

Tax debt can arise from:

09. Retirement fund withdraw/rollover

10. Audit discovered unreported problems

Tax debt can arise from:

11. Problems with State Return

12. Legitimacy of Dependents

01. Annoying notices of proposed debt

02. Annoying notices of assessed debt

03. Involuntary refund conversion

04. Non-deductible interest

05. Failure to pay penalty .5%=>25%

06. Federal tax lien, Value>\$10k

07. Levy banks (once or perpetual)

08. Levy personal property

09. WageGarnishment

10. Intrusion on your property

11. Private Debt Collectors

INTRODUCTION Most debt is different from tax debts, generally:

Most debts require a creditor to:

(1)Sue

(2)Prove notice to the debtor (that real efforts were made to notify the debtor)

(3)Prove the existence & legitimacy of the debt in court Use the court to collect the debt (levy, attachment, etc)

INTRODUCTION Most Tax debts require a tax agency to:

(1)Obtain some indication that a tax is owing

(2)Only notify the taxpayer at a last known address

(3) Assess the tax even if taxpayer doesn't know of it.

(4) Use administrative acts to collect the debt (levy, attachment, etc)

INTRODUCTION Most non-tax debts are: (1) Voluntary

(2) Inaction Statute of limitation

(3) Nondischargeability in BK not time dependent

Most Tax debts:

(1) Involuntary

(2) Not affected by collection inaction

(3) Early time prohibition of bankruptcy dischargeability

BURDEN OF PROOF Creditor must establish: (1) existence of a debt

(2) identity of the debtor

(3) proper computation of amount

BURDEN OF PROOF Tax agency creditor:

(1) taxpayer has burden of production & proof for base tax debt.

BURDEN OF PROOF Burden of Production & Proof

Meaning:

-If taxpayer produces no evidence, it loses, and

BURDEN OF PROOF Burden of Proof...(cont'd):

-If tax agency joins in producing evidence against the taxpayer, taxpayer proof must overcome tax agency proof by a preponderance (51/49).

BURDEN OF PROOF

Burden of Proof

(2) It is also sometimes said that a tax agency assessment is "presumed to be correct"

Complicated Simple Example:

If someone submits a 1099 in error indicating a taxpayer & amount of income.

How can this injustice / error be overcome?

The tax agency has a 1099, and it computed a "presumed to be correct" assessment.

Taxpayer states it knows nothing of the 1099 issuer.

Taxpayer would need affidavits and sworn testimony to prevail.

Taxpayer can't tell IRS to prove it.

In a court contest, if taxpayer gave testimony (Burden to produce), the IRS would have to call the issuer to testify.

In a court contest, if taxpayer gave testimony (Burden to produce), the IRS would have to call the issuer to testify.

But in reality, who is responsible for contacting the 1099 issuer?

What if the issuer will not admit a mistake?

What if the IRS doesn't investigate? What if the issuer refuses to talk with the taxpayer? Who wants to take this controversy to Tax Court?

Its closer to reality to assume that IRS does "some" defensive checking, regardless of the "legal" burden, to avoid the expense of going to court.

Challenging the IRS to cause IRS to "check its position" can resolve the controversy in the taxpayer's favor more quickly.

Complicated Simple Example: (cont'd)

Challenging the IRS at the earliest possible time in the process can be critical to prevent IRS to become "entrenched" in its position.

It is important to know, analyze, and challenge the correctness of IRS positions at the earliest possible moment to have a best chance to prevail.

TAX DEBT RULES -1 - 2 - 3 - 4 - 5 -

A process can be thought of as a series connected set of steps. If a taxpayer prevails at any point in the series, that part of the controversy is

TAX DEBT RULES - 1 - 2 - 3 - 4 - 5 -

A process can be thought of as a series connected set of steps. If a taxpayer prevails at any point, that part of the controversy is over.

TAX DEBT RULES -> 1 -> 2 -> 3 -> 4 -> 5 ->

However, since each step in the process costs in terms of time, money and uncertainty,

Wouldn't it be better to engage & prevail at -1 -?

Regardless of whether there is an IRS error, taxpayer mistake or any disagreement, the TAX DEBT rules affect every taxpayer.

Statutes of Limitation (SOL) that prevent actions after or before a certain period of time, usually measured at tax return filing.

1. A limited time after tax filing & assessment during which IRS can assess new debt against the taxpayer.

(Assessment SOL)(after filing)

2. A limit on the total time during which a tax debt exists after assessment.

(Collection SOL) (after filing)

3. Opportunities for the taxpayer to eliminate tax debt based upon solvency.

(Bankruptcy SOL)(after tax filing & before bankruptcy filing)

Further, within this framework, there are even more smaller rules that can be utilized to benefit the taxpayer in resolving tax debt in smaller advantages.

TAX DEBT RULES STOP. YOU NEED TO KNOW EVERYTHING IN YOUR RECORD FIRST.

Thesis: When dealing with the IRS, you need to know more about your account than they

TAX DEBT RULES Why?

(1) IRS will use what you don't know to bargain against you.

TAXDEBT RULES Why? (cont'd)

(2) If you know the "problem areas" before IRS does, (a) it may be fixable & give a best first impression. (b) it may eliminate an impression of hiding something.

STOP. YOU NEED TO DISCOVER TAXPAYER TOLLING EVENTS TO KNOW AND BETTER CHOOSE WHEN AND HOW TO TAKE ACTION.

Thesis: All of the limitation dates on the collection statute, the assessment statute, and the bankruptcy discharge statute are "tolled" (paused) upon happening of certain events.

It also means that you need to learn something about leaving a margin of safety before taking action.

Error can creep into everything.

TAX DEBT RULES SOURCES of TAX ACCOUNTS:

(1) FOIA

(2) TAX ACCOUNT TRANSCRIPTS

(3) TAXPAYER RECORDS

When a taxpayer discovers a variance in these three sources related to the controversy, it might be used to cause IRS (in fairness) to compromise the government's position. 55

DECIDING TO TAKE ACTION BEFORE AN INDEPENDENT ANALYSIS COULD COST DEARLY

Predominantly, practitioners are statistically split between attorneys that think bankruptcy is the solution, versus "offer-in-compromise."

Most are interested in quick action, without exploring optimization & don't provide an independent analysis that could allow the taxpayer a third choice: to continue to monitor and move forward without tolling action.

Perhaps the taxpayer would like to take some risk in order to try to reach a more distant milestone before taking action.

A milestone might be trying to reach a point in time when an ordinary debt would be barred.

Another milestone might be trying to pass a collection SOL time expiration.

See "Bankruptcy & Offer-In-Compromise - The Hot Dog Stand Paradigm" at https://rebrand.ly/TDABlog

(For more in depth treatment)

Conclusion

CHECK YOUR IRS TAX ACCOUNT

Attention should be given an IRS tax account the same as a bank account or credit card account.

Early detection enables early engagement & better result.

Conclusion CHECK YOUR IRS TAX ACCOUNT

What is the risk of ignoring a tax account?

It is the taxpayer's risk!

PATENTAX

http://www.patentax.com

(562)594-9784

curt@patentax.com



HARRINGTON & HARRINGTON

PATENT, TRADEMARK, COPYRIGHT, LICENSING & TAXATION

CURTIS L. HARRINGTON PATENT & TAX ATTORNEY

P.O. Box 91719 Long Beach, CA 90809-1719 WWW.PATENTAX.COM PHONE:(562) 594-9784 FAX(562) 594-4414 CURT@PATENTAX.COM 弁護士 / 弁理士 税法専門弁護士

адвокат интеллектуальной собственности налоговый адвокат

подавать заявку на патент регистрация банкротства налоговое представление оппозиция сбора налогов подавать заявку на товарный знак лицензирование

Curtis L. Harrington

The PATENTAX 浪人 - УДАРНИК

HARRINGTON & HARRINGTON - PATENTAX® Contact:

P.O. Box No. 91719, Long Beach, CA 90809-1719 patentax.com Tel. (562) 594-9784; Fax (562) 594-4414 curt@patentax.com

High Technology Patent / Trademark / Intellectual Property Law & Taxation Specialty:

B.S. Chemistry - Auburn University (1974) Education:

M.S. Electrical Engineering - California State University Long Beach (1990)

M.S. Chemical Engineering - Georgia Institute of Technology (1977)

J.D. - University of Houston School of Law (1983)

M.B.A. - University of Oklahoma (1985)

LL.M. Taxation - University of San Diego School of Law (1997)

Supreme Courts of California, Arizona, Texas, & Nevada Admitted

to Practice: U.S. Supreme Court; U.S. District Court, C.D. - CA & S.D. - TX

> Internal Revenue Service U.S. Patent and Trademark Office U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal, Fifth & Ninth Circuits

California Dept. of Real Estate - Broker; Los Angeles County CA EMT-Basic

FCC-Commercial & Amateur Extra U.S. Tax Court

Certified by The State Bar of California Board of Legal Specialization: Taxation

Japanese Language Proficiency Exam, (Japan Foundation), Level 4; Kanji Languages

Proficiency Exam (Kanji Aptitude Testing Foundation) Level 7, recognized by

Japan Ministry of Education, mastery of 640 kanji; Russian reading ability.

Prepared & prosecuted patents, in electrical, chemical & mechanical technologies **Patents** Issued

technical specialty: optics, fiber optics, cryogenics, electromagnetics, & computers.

Associate counsel in patent & trade secret litigation; Municipal Court Judge pro Litigation

tem & Superior Court Mediation program Attorney-Client fee Dispute Arbitrator,

Long Beach Bar Association; Patent Panel, American Arbitration Association.

Adjunct Law Professor, Golden Gate University School of Law, LL.M. Taxation Teaching

Program: Georgia Institute of Technology - previously taught heat and mass

transfer laboratories, and analog and digital computer laboratory.

Current Member: State bars of California, Texas, Arizona & Nevada; California Member:

Lawyer's Association (Business & Tax Sections) Central District Consumer Bankruptcy Attorneys Association (2011-Pres); Texas Bar College (2018-pres); & Fellow, National Tax Practice Institute. Past Member: Member (2006-2016) of California State Bar Board of Legal Specialization, including Taxation Advisory Committee Chair (2010-11) and Chair of the California State Bar Board of Legal Specialization; Southern California Bankruptcy Inn of Court (2011-2012); Long Beach Bar Assn. (Bd. of Governors, 1994-95); Orange County Bar Association, (Co-Chair Technology Law Section 1996); CA Society of Enrolled Agents (Orange Co. Chapter President 2003-2004); Registered Parliamentarian - National

Association of Parliamentarians; Sema Business Management Committee (1997-98); CEB committee (1999-2000); Taxation Section Executive Committee (2002-2005); Income & Other Tax subcommittee (Chair 2000-2002); Special Master, CA

State Bar Association for Search Warrants under Penal Code 1524 (2001-2002).