

TAX AUDIT & DEBT

February 25, 2020

Curtis L. Harrington

Harrington & Harrington

Box No. 91719

Long Beach, CA 90809-1719

(562) 594-9784

curt@patentax.com

http://www.patentax.com

DISCLAIMER-Educational Only

This Power Point Presentation is Educational Only and no part of this presentation can be considered as anything other than my own personal opinion.

Materials Become More Dated With Each Passing Day: Materials are believed to embody principles correspondingly associated with dates that the materials were created. Readers are responsible for verifying whether the principles of this presentation are valid. 4

DISCLAIMER-Educational Only

The information contained in the training materials is of a general nature and is not intended to address the circumstances of a particular individual or entity. It is not intended to be any form of "advice concerning one or more federal tax matters" subject to any applicable standards.

1. Audit Authority III. Audit Triggers

V.What & Where is Audit in the list of tax procedures?

V. Represent Self ?? Can Pro Se Taxpayers Prevail??

VI.Cont'd... Will taxpayer quality be sufficient??

VII.Advantages of Professional Representation

VIII.Other Facts & Considerations

The average taxpayer may not usually keep organized records after the tax return is filed.

Such taxpayer is averse to the pain of "doing it over" with the possibility of cash penalty.

The audit is considered as something that should go away with the expenditure of "minimum dollars".

and minimum taxpayer mental involvement.

Big Mistake?

The question is whether the taxpayer is willing to concede to the audit to the government position

INTRODUCTION Without a taxpayer "commitment to details," and maximum taxpayer mental involvement, the taxpayer is not as likely to prevail.

Without a "commitment to involvement," the taxpayer will not get an idea of which aspects of the audit are provable.

INTRODUCTION After some perception of which aspects of the audit are provable, a decision should be made on which issues to contest.

INTRODUCTION Regardless,

Involvement and participation are mandatory.

AUDIT AUTHORITY

IRC §7602:

"Examination of Books & Witnesses"

AUDIT AUTHORITY

IRC §7517:

"Taxpayer's position on Value" substantiation"

Perhaps based upon taxpayer numeric values & industry norm.

Perhaps based upon Identity of a bad paid tax preparer.

Perhaps based upon IRS data normative template.

Perhaps a DIF (Discriminant Function) statistical measure.

Perhaps taxpayer has more income than reported on the return.

Perhaps grew from unagreed correspondence audit.

Where Audit Fits In

(A) Year's Earning Activity

(B) Prepare & File Return

(C) Organize Papers

Where Audit Fits In

(D) AUDIT NOTICE

(1) Identify years and issues affected

Where Audit Fits In

(2) Prepare to Respond

(3) Retrieve & Review Tax Years in Audit

Where Audit Fits In

(4) Retrieve & Review Before/After Adjacent Tax Years in Audit

(5) Draft Responses

Where Audit Fits In

(6) Contact Auditor to transfer information & explore issues likely to be agreed upon.

Where Audit Fits In

(7) Set likely unagreed items for next meeting. (telephonic or in-person with tax representative.

Where Audit Fits In

(8) If no agreement is reached, set a formal inperson audit meeting.

Where Audit Fits In

(9) Prepare cases, a chronological narrative, and records to support taxpayer position.

Where Audit Fits In

(10) Meet with auditor (preferably without taxpayer present if taxpayer is represented)

Where Audit Fits In

(11) Present briefed case interweaving facts, evidence, affidavits and records. Argue taxpayer position.

Where Audit Fits In

(12) Reach agreement. If not, request audit manager to attend & review facts.

Where Audit Fits In

(13) Formally in writing request the auditor's work papers created in supporting IRS position

Where Audit Fits In

(14) Request face to face meeting if auditor's work papers are in error.

Where Audit Fits In

(E) If issues remain unagreed, prepare to submit an appeals to IRS Appeals with an IRS risk of loss analysis.

Where Audit Fits In

(F) If lost at appeals, prepare a Tax Court Petition outlining all positions & approaches.

Where Audit Fits In

(G) Prepare to engage appeals again via direction from Tax Court

Where Audit Fits In

(H) Prepare for Tax Court Trial (or other action to get to another court)

Where Audit Fits In

(I) If negative outcome ask for reconsideration or rehearing of en banc Tax Court (rare)

Where Audit Fits In

(J) Circuit Level Appeals Courts

(K) Supreme Court

Where Audit Fits In

Important: slides A - K Represent ELEVEN (11) opportunities to get it right.

Where Audit Fits In

Every opportunity that the taxpayer misses, ignores or waives is a lost opportunity.

Where Audit Fits In

Procedural opportunities lost can prevent taxpayer from proving substantive positions, and its more expensive.

Can Pro Se Taxpayers Prevail, Will Quality Be Complete Enough?

(A) A good, complete, showing for IRS audit should have a number of characteristics:

Can Pro Se Taxpayers Prevail, Will Quality Be Complete Enough?

(1) wholistic organized over-preparation and readyness.

Can Pro Se Taxpayers Prevail, Will Quality Be Complete Enough?

(Don't just sample or compute only one month of data when the IRS may likely require more.)

Can Pro Se Taxpayers Prevail, Will Quality Be Complete Enough?

(prepare and organize financial data in a way that minimizes the need for further IRS auditor computations)

Can Pro Se Taxpayers Prevail, Will Quality Be Complete Enough?

(2) IRS Auditor needs to have a fundamental understanding of details of the taxpayer's life circumstances.

Can Pro Se Taxpayers Prevail, Will Quality Be Complete Enough?

(At minimum, occupation, rate of pay, assets, liabilities, & overall business & household economics& lifestyle)

Can Pro Se Taxpayers Prevail, Will Quality Be Complete Enough?

These fundamental attributes of the taxpayer are an integral part of the position of the taxpayer.

Can Pro Se Taxpayers Prevail, Will Quality Be Complete Enough?

An amended return should be considered to take control of any sensitive areas.

Can Pro Se Taxpayers Prevail, Will Quality Be Complete Enough?

(a showing of higher precision in an amended return may persuade the IRS auditor of taxpayer's position)

Can Pro Se Taxpayers Prevail, Will Quality Be Complete Enough?

(B) The taxpayer must learn, research & be conversant with the direct issues in the audit.

Can Pro Se Taxpayers Prevail, Will Quality Be Complete Enough?

...taxpayer must also anticipate secondary issues that might arise.

Can Pro Se Taxpayers Prevail, Will Quality Be Complete Enough?

(1) Documentation should be organized, complete, subtotaled & totaled, especially if it is key to taxpayer's position

Can Pro Se Taxpayers Prevail, Will Quality Be Complete Enough?

(2) Law and supporting (and opposing) cases should be organized and ready to support the taxpayer's position

Can Pro Se Taxpayers Prevail, Will Quality Be Complete Enough?

(3) An evaluation of related subject areas which might be sensitive or raise questions should be discovered.

Can Pro Se Taxpayers Prevail, Will Quality Be Complete Enough?

(C) Opposing the IRS auditor verbally, without written readiness will not work. What is not written will have never existed.

Can Pro Se Taxpayers Prevail, Will Quality Be Complete Enough?

(1) The IRS auditor has many audits to do, and assisting with time management may favor a better taxpayer result.

Can Pro Se Taxpayers Prevail, Will Quality Be Complete Enough?

(2) Clear substantiation of position, & mastery of tax law outweighs verbal argument, & complaints about the audit.

Can Pro Se Taxpayers Prevail, Will Quality Be Complete Enough?

(3) The stakes for being thorough are high. Nondeductible interest, penalties, & tax will result from losing the audit.

Can Pro Se Taxpayers Prevail, Will Quality Be Complete Enough?

(D) Without compactness of taxpayer position, an IRS Auditor is more likely to start a "fishing" expedition.

Can Pro Se Taxpayers Prevail, Will Quality Be Complete Enough?

(1) If a taxpayer tries to limit the time or scope of audit, the IRS auditor suspicions may increase.

Can Pro Se Taxpayers Prevail, Will Quality Be Complete Enough?

(2) Presentation must be convincing & provide all arguments that indicate nothing more exists which is useful to see.

Can Pro Se Taxpayers Prevail, Will Quality Be Complete Enough?

(3) Taxpayer electronic files should be provided without revealing historical creation versions.

Can Pro Se Taxpayers Prevail, Will Quality Be Complete Enough?

(4) Taxpayers should consider that every year will be audited & arrange tax return records to be quickly retrievable.

Can Pro Se Taxpayers Prevail, Will Quality Be Complete Enough?

(E) Every information request from the IRS auditor should result in production or a detailed explaination.

Can Pro Se Taxpayers Prevail, Will Quality Be Complete Enough?

(1) Resistance to providing information must be clear, with narrowly drafted reasoning.

Can Pro Se Taxpayers Prevail, Will Quality Be Complete Enough?

(2) Inconsistent responses to information requests will raise further IRS auditor suspicion.

Can Pro Se Taxpayers Prevail, Will Quality Be Complete Enough?

(3) Unexplained failure to provide substantiation will raise further IRS auditor suspicions

Can Pro Se Taxpayers Prevail, Will Quality Be Complete Enough?

(4) Spurious excuses and made up stories will raise further IRS auditor suspicions.

Can Pro Se Taxpayers Prevail, Will Quality Be Complete Enough?

(5) The taxpayer's trying personally to limit the boundaries & duration of the IRS audit probably won't work.

Can Pro Se Taxpayers Prevail, Will Quality Be Complete Enough?

(6) If IRS auditor remains unconvinced of taxpayer position, consider having an IRS group manager to attend the audit.

Professional Representation Advantages

(A) Representation by an attorney that did NOT prepare the tax return has a number of advantages.

Professional Representation Advantages

Even an attorney that prepares a return has no privilege as to the return and can be made to testify.

Professional Representation Advantages

Non-attorneys (CPA's & enrolled agents) not taking part in the return have a limited privilege.

Professional Representation Advantages

That limited privilege is derived from 26 U.S.C. 7525 and is limited only to civil matters involving the federal government.

Professional Representation Advantages

In an audit resulting in criminal controversy, even the 26 U.S.C. 7525 privilege will disappear for non-attorneys.

Professional Representation Advantages

(2) Anyone that prepared the return as a paid preparer is subject to separate PREPARER PENALTIES.

Professional Representation Advantages

Paid preparers use an "intake form" completed by taxpayer, which is often used against the taxpayer to avoid liability.

87

Professional Representation Advantages

Taxpayer will counter that taxpayer (a) didn't understand or (b) informed tax preparer verbally in great detail.

Professional Representation Advantages

(B) Generally, an IRS tax auditor would prefer to interact with a tax professional (hopefully even one not subject to a

found with the texpenser

Professional Representation Advantages

(B) Generally, a taxpayer should prefer a nonconflicted tax representative that did not prepare the return

Professional Representation Advantages

(1) A representative that prepared the return would focus on blaming taxpayer to prevent preparer penalties

Professional Representation Advantages

(2) A representative that prepared the return can not primarily focus on advocating taxpayer's tax position.

Professional Representation Advantages

(C) An IRS tax auditor would prefer to interact with an independent tax professional even if there is no conflict to exploit.

Professional Representation Advantages

(1) A good professional taxpayer representative can restrict or eliminate the need for dangerous presence of the taxpayer.

94

Professional Representation Advantages

(2) Tax professional can more understandably shorten face-to-face time with the tax auditor less cut short exposure time.

Professional Representation Advantages

(3) Representatives can urge limit audit scope to initial scope & avoid negative inferences.

Professional Representation Advantages

(4) A tax professional that knows at least the same depth of tax law as the IRS auditor can do some negotiation to save time.

Act Early To Address Tax Problems

(A) Tax path: books preparation; return preparation; filing; audit; proposed assessment; assessment; appeals;

Act Early To Address Tax Problems

Path cont'd: tax court; circuit court appeals; and the U.S. Supreme court.

Act Early To Address Tax Problems

The Tax path is seen to provide about eleven chances for taxpayer to present a case and prevail on all issues.

Act Early To Address Tax Problems

Early stage procedures:

- -Less Cost
- -Less restrictive issues that can be raised.
- -End Controversy earlier.

Act Early To Address Tax Problems

(B) After assessment, taxpayer has 10 days to pay, after which involuntary collection mechanisms can begin.

Act Early To Address Tax Problems

(C) 4th opportunity for resolution is audit. Care, effort, is required. Semi -Judicial stages are next.

Conclusion CHECK YOUR IRS TAX ACCOUNT

Attention should be given an IRS audit the same as an audit of a company.

Early action enables early resolution & better cost result.

Conclusion CHECK YOUR IRS TAX ACCOUNT

What is the risk of weak effort for a tax audit?

It is the taxpayer's risk!

PATENTAX

http://www.patentax.com

(562)594-9784

curt@patentax.com



HARRINGTON & HARRINGTON

PATENT, TRADEMARK, COPYRIGHT, LICENSING & TAXATION

CURTIS L. HARRINGTON PATENT & TAX ATTORNEY

P.O. Box 91719 Long Beach, CA 90809-1719 WWW.PATENTAX.COM PHONE: (562) 594-9784 FAX(562) 594-4414 CURT@PATENTAX.COM 弁護士 / 弁理士 税法専門弁護士

адвокат интеллектуальной собственности налоговый адвокат

подавать заявку на патент регистрация банкротства налоговое представление оппозиция сбора налогов подавать заявку на товарный знак лицензирование

Curtis L. Harrington

The PATENTAX 浪人 - УДАРНИК

Contact: HARRINGTON & HARRINGTON - PATENTAX®

P.O. Box No. 91719, Long Beach, CA 90809-1719 <u>patentax.com</u> Tel. (562) 594-9784; Fax (562) 594-4414 curt@patentax.com

Specialty: High Technology Patent / Trademark / Intellectual Property Law & Taxation

Education: **B.S.** Chemistry - Auburn University (1974)

M.S. Electrical Engineering - California State University Long Beach (1990)

M.S. Chemical Engineering - Georgia Institute of Technology (1977)

J.D. - University of Houston School of Law (1983)

M.B.A. - University of Oklahoma (1985)

LL.M. Taxation - University of San Diego School of Law (1997)

Admitted Supreme Courts of California, Arizona, Texas, & Nevada

to Practice: U.S. Supreme Court; U.S. District Court, C.D. - CA & S.D. - TX

Internal Revenue Service U.S. Patent and Trademark Office U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal, Fifth & Ninth Circuits

California Dept. of Real Estate - Broker; Los Angeles County CA EMT-Basic

U.S. Tax Court FCC-Commercial & Amateur Extra

Certified by The State Bar of California Board of Legal Specialization: Taxation

Languages Japanese Language Proficiency Exam, (Japan Foundation), Level 4; Kanji

Proficiency Exam (Kanji Aptitude Testing Foundation) Level 7, recognized by

Japan Ministry of Education, mastery of 640 kanji; Russian reading ability.

Patents Prepared & prosecuted patents, in electrical, chemical & mechanical technologies

Issued technical specialty: optics, fiber optics, cryogenics, electromagnetics, & computers.

Litigation Associate counsel in patent & trade secret litigation; Municipal Court Judge pro

tem & Superior Court Mediation program Attorney-Client fee Dispute Arbitrator,

Long Beach Bar Association; Patent Panel, American Arbitration Association.

Teaching Adjunct Law Professor, Golden Gate University School of Law, LL.M. Taxation

Program: Georgia Institute of Technology - previously taught heat and mass

transfer laboratories, and analog and digital computer laboratory.

Member: <u>Current Member</u>: State bars of California, Texas, Arizona & Nevada; California

Lawyer's Association (Business & Tax Sections) Central District Consumer Bankruptcy Attorneys Association (2011-Pres); Texas Bar College (2018-pres); & Fellow, National Tax Practice Institute. **Past Member**: Member (2006-2016) of California State Bar Board of Legal Specialization, including Taxation Advisory Committee Chair (2010-11) and Chair of the California State Bar Board of Legal Specialization; Southern California Bankruptcy Inn of Court (2011-2012); Long Beach Bar Assn.(Bd. of Governors, 1994-95); Orange County Bar Association, (Co-Chair Technology Law Section 1996); CA Society of Enrolled Agents

(Orange Co. Chapter President 2003-2004); Registered Parliamentarian - National Association of Parliamentarians; Sema Business Management Committee (1997-

98); CEB committee (1999-2000); Taxation Section Executive Committee (2002-2005); Income & Other Tax subcommittee (Chair 2000-2002); Special Master, CA

State Bar Association for Search Warrants under Penal Code 1524 (2001-2002).